1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	DAVID T. BIDERMAN, Bar No. 101577 JUDITH B. GITTERMAN, Bar No. 115661 M. CHRISTOPHER JHANG, Bar No. 211463 PERKINS COIE LLP Four Embarcadero Center, Suite 2400 San Francisco, CA 94111-4131 Telephone: (415) 344-7000 Facsimile: (415) 344-7050 Email: DBiderman@perkinscoie.com Email: JGitterman@perkinscoie.com Email: CJhang@perkinscoie.com Attorneys for Defendant Google Inc.	
9	UNITED STATES I	DISTRICT COURT
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SAN JOSE DIVISION	
11		I
12	CLRB HANSON INDUSTRIES, LLC d/b/a	CASE NO. C O5-03649 JW
13	INDUSTRIAL PRINTING, and HOWARD STERN, on behalf of themselves and all others	DECLARATION OF M. CHRISTOPHER JHANG IN SUPPORT
14	similarly situated,  Plaintiffs,	OF GOOGLE INC.'S ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION FOR
15	V.	LEAVE TO FILE A DOCUMENT UNDER SEAL PURSUANT TO CIV.
16	GOOGLE, INC.,	L.R. 79-5
17	Defendant.	Date: June 21, 2007 Time: 10:00 a.m.
18	Defendant.	Dept.: Courtroom 8 Judge: Honorable James Ware
19		
20		·
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	1 H D I 100 Admin D F I T. Ell. A D.	armant Indan Caal

3

8

6

10

12

11

14

15

13

16

18 19

17

20 21

22 23

24

25 26

27

28

- I, M. Christopher Jhang, hereby declare as follows:
- 1. I am an attorney duly licensed to practice law in all of the courts of the State of California and this Court, and am an attorney with the law firm of Perkins Coie LLP, counsel for defendant Google Inc. ("Google") in this action. I submit this declaration in support of Google's Administrative Motion For Leave To File A Document Under Seal Pursuant To Civ. L.R. 79-5. I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth below except as to those matters stated on information and belief, and as to those matters, I believe them to be true. If called upon to testify, I could and would testify competently as to the matters set forth herein.
- 2. On or about March 2, 2007, Google and plaintiffs CLRB Hanson Industries, LLC, d/b/a Industrial Printing, and Howard Stern ("Plaintiffs") executed and filed with the Court their [Proposed] Stipulated Protective Order Regarding Confidential Information. On May 15, 2007, the Court executed the parties' Stipulated Protective Order (with amendments). A true and correct copy of the Court-executed Stipulated Protective Order is attached hereto as Exhibit A.
- 3. The Stipulated Protective Order provides two tiers of confidential designations. The first tier covers information designated "Confidential," which the Stipulated Protective Order generally describes as "those things that may be disclosed to the parties or their counsel for the purposes of the litigation, but which must be protected against disclosure to third parties." Stipulated Protective Order, ¶ 4. The second tier covers information designated "Confidential – Trade Secret/Attorneys' Eyes Only," which the protective order generally describes as "those information or materials which are of a proprietary, business or technical nature that might reasonably be of value to a competitor or potential customer of the party or nonparty holding the proprietary rights thereto or might reasonably pose a commercial disadvantage to the producing party and must be protected from disclosure." *Id*.
- 4. On April 26, 2007, I sent Plaintiffs' counsel, Lester Levy and Michele Raphael, a letter providing Google's tailored confidentiality designations for the deposition transcripts of Google employees Heather Wilburn, Shivakumar Venkataraman, and Michael Schulman. A true and correct copy of this letter is attached hereto as Exhibit B.